# **ORIGINAL**



# <u>0000168149</u>

RECEIVED

2016 FEB - 1 P 3: 16

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

# Memorandum

From the office of **Chairman Doug Little Arizona Corporation Commission** 1200 W. WASHINGTON PHOENIX, ARIZONA (602) 542-3682

TO:

**Docket Control** 

DATE:

February 1, 2016

FROM:

Chairman Doug Little's Office

SUBJECT:

SunZia Transmission, LLC. Docket No. L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Chairman Little has received approximately 15 emails regarding the captioned docket numbers above. The documents can be viewed in Docket Control or online on the eDocket website.

> Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

> > FEB 0 1 2016

DOCKETED BY

From: Diane Tuck <yippeeidt43@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Monday, February 01, 2016 12:18 PM

**To:** Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web

Subject: Sun Zia

I am one of the few people who have the privilege to live in the lower San Pedro Valley at Redington. I am unable to attend the hearing tomorrow but wish to express my concern for the future of this special place. You have an extremely important corridor here that is of more value than this proposed Sun Zia transmission line.

The proposed SunZia Southwest Transmission Project would march from New Mexico across southern Arizona, causing irreparable harm to Arizona's natural and cultural resources. This project, which would include two 500-kV transmission lines, would create one of the largest new utility corridors in the western U.S. Its path through the lower San Pedro Valley and through other public lands would negatively affect wildlife habitat and movement corridors, cultural sites, the river itself, and more.

The San Pedro Valley, known for its rich riparian habitat and diverse wildlife, has been the focus of major federal, state, and corporate conservation efforts for four decades. The proposed corridor would negate many of these efforts. The need for the project is also highly questionable as similar, yet less damaging, projects have also been proposed that would accomplish the stated objectives.

Please do not allow this transmission line to be approved. Please consider less damaging proposals.

Sincerely, Diane Tuck Redington, AZ

Paul & Christina Clifford, Redington, AZ

Thomas Tuck, Redington, AZ

From:

Dorothy Motheral <azdotty@earthlink.net>

Sent:

Monday, February 01, 2016 10:56 AM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

SunZia

Dear Mr. Little,

The SunZia project baffles me. I frequently drive to Las Vegas, and, until a few years ago, made an annual trip to San Diego. I travel the backroads and the Interstates. I have seen numerous, vast solar installations as I have traveled the various routes I have discovered over the years. Some of the solar installations in Nevada are so enormous that, when I first saw them, I thought they were large lakes. There is a large solar array north of I-10 near Buckeye, and several huge solar installations along I-8. I have also seen fields of wind turbines in California on the way to the Sierras. Why is SunZia proposing to build very expensive, extremely controversial, insecure and unsightly transmission lines to bring wind and solar power over five hundred miles from New Mexico to Phoenix and California, when there is already ample sun and wind very close to the neighborhoods they claim to be serving?

Please examine the rationality of the assumptions inherent in this project. Yes, we need to expand our use of alternative energy, but is SunZia's plan a practical, effective way to achieve those goals? Please follow the bread crumbs and discover what lies under the glitter of the politically correct words. If the goal is delivering alternative energy, then SunZia's proposal is deficient economically, environmentally and in terms of security from fanatics or natural disasters. As intelligent, creative, practical, efficient people, we can do way better.

I urge you to reject the Sunzia proposal and encourage local efforts to generate and distribute energy. Such efforts will create jobs and profits which will stay in the community, will protect the security of our grid and will preserve our beautiful desert environment for its human, avian, plant and animal (by which I include mammal, reptile, amphibian and insect) denizens. And I bet that local efforts will be much, much less costly (and not just in dollars).

I trust you are already familiar with the information that the Audubon Society and the Cascabel Working Group have put forward. They have done an excellent job presenting the arguments for protecting the San Pedro Valley, while elaborating the obvious and not so obvious consequences of SunZia's proposal. If you haven't become familiar with what these groups have already expressed, I encourage you to take the time to do so before making a decision.

Also, I strongly urge you to spend some quiet time in the areas where transmission lines are proposed. Let your heart speak to you in silence, and then decide.

Thank you for your attention. I most likely will not be able to attend the hearing on February second, but know that I feel very strongly that the SunZia proposal is a deeply flawed, ill-founded idea. I applaud the goal of expanding our supply and distribution of alternative energy and deplore

SunZia's approach to this worthy goal. Please deny SunZia the Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC).

Sincerely, Dorothy Motheral

From:

Marshall Magruder < mmagruder@earthlink.net>

Sent:

Monday, February 01, 2016 12:56 AM

To:

Little-Web; RBurns-Web; Forese-Web; Stump-Web; biggs-web@azcc.gov

Subject:

Comments - SunZia CEC, Line Siting Case 177, Docket No. LL00000-15-0318-00171

Attachments:

160131-Comments on the SunZia Transmission Line Proposal .pdf

Commissioners:

Please see the attached comments.

Respectfully,

Marshall Magruder PO Box 1267 Tubac, Arizona 85646 marshall@magruder.org 520.398.8587

# Comments on the SunZia Transmission Line Proposal to the Arizona Corporation Commission

#### Marshall Magruder 31 January 2016

My name is Marshall Magruder, a resident of Tubac, and intervened in several line siting cases before this Commission and others including various rate cases.

These comments concern several areas, with the "need" for this system being severally doubtful, the new corridors having extreme environmental damages, and the entire project not beneficial for the state of Arizona.

Before I begin, let me remind the Commission of the *First Law of Transmission Line Siting*, that is, "to use what is there first before using something new and undeveloped." Modifying an easement or using existing rights of way is always preferred to a new one.

First, this company tried to *bypass the Siting Committee* with an attempt to have our state legislature "skip" the Committee and going directly to the Commission since they had a Final EIS and ROD. The *EIS only covers the federal lands* and **NOT state or private lands**. That is *your responsibility* and where *you have complete authority*.

From your view, this is not a <u>federal project</u> but a <u>private venture</u> to place a transmission line with the goal of collecting "wheeling charges" and FERC rebates. This is <u>not a line designed to support the electric utilities in this state</u>. For example, there is only one substation along its Arizona route, <u>specifically</u> for the Bowie Generation Station. There are no other substations, thus no service for Pima County and service for other Arizona location. Arizona is not the objective for this project.

Second, there is another project, called **Southline**, sponsored by DOE's WAPA, that will use an <u>existing corridor</u> to upgrade an existing single 115kV line to a <u>two 230kV lines</u> that will provide transmission <u>nearly parallel</u> to the SunZia route (other than along the San Juan River). It will be much <u>less expensive</u> to build, *less environmental impacts*, and <u>meets the needs of Pima and Cochise Counties</u>, especially the <u>cooperatives</u>, for many years. This planned project, authorized by the Energy Policy Act of 2005, Section 1222, must be considered a <u>viable alternative</u> to the SunZia project. I fully support that project. It will improve reliability and capacity in southern Arizona. SunZia does nothing.

Third, the "goal" of the SunZia project is to provide renewable electricity (RE) from eastern NM to a Pinal County substation and thence to California. This was to provide renewable electricity so California could meet its RE requirements. There are no problems with California meeting its RE goals that have been increased since initiation of the SunZia project. That "need" does not exist, and furthermore, there are no lines with additional capacity to take this electricity to California from Pinal County.

Fourth, there are two projects in the SunZia proposal, one for an AC transmission line and a possible AC **or** DC second transmission line in the future. There are prior cases where changing from DC to AC have required a new CEC, thus you must **not** approve an <u>unknown second transmission</u> line at this time and require a second CEC be approved

for the second line, whenever, if ever, it is "doubtfully needed" Since this second line will probably not be required within five years of the ROD, a <u>new or Supplemental EIS</u> will be required. Approving this CEC the meeting is giving a *premature approval* when additional EIS and public comments will be required for the federal part of this project. Thus, recommend <u>only approve the AC line during these hearings</u>.

Fifth, the **Bowie Generation Station** substation interconnection is the *only* potential benefit for Arizona; however, this "new" project is sponsored by the SunZia's sponsor and one if its main reasons for being here today. This generation station should be moved and co-located near the coal-powered Apache Generation Station near Willcox to replace the coal with natural gas. This uses existing corridors and provides access to the grid by the *Southline s*ystem. This resolves the ongoing EPA challenges at Apache, gives the "Bowie" station an interconnection and does not need the SunZia transmission line.

Sixth, one goal for SunZia was to "remove congestion" on path 49, northwest of El Paso, who receives electricity from the Palo Verde Nuclear Generation Plant. If this line is near capacity, and a new Southline will carry WAPA electricity East, then why do we need another SunZia line to carry power going West. Power flows to the demand, thus less power is required and SunZia large capacity is a waste. Why have one line going one way and another going the other way, between nearly the same points? This is in the "dumb category" of waste.

Seventh, the US Army *Electronic Test Range* in Cochise County is a national treasure and any electromagnetic interference from SunZia will harm national security. Based on decades of military experience with such issues, I do not consider having this project with bare lines, radiating electric and electromagnetic energy near this Test Range.

Eight, further, the electromagnetic radiation from 500 kV lines will *induce electricity* into any natural gas, water, or petroleum ferromagnetic <u>pipelines</u> and even cattle <u>fences</u>. The complex process used to determine these impacts is very challenging and must be verified to ensure no <u>damage the lifecycles of these pipelines</u>. Consequences of induced electricity can be *explosive* or *life-threateningly shocking*. The conductivity of the underground soil in the vicinity of the lines must be taken into account now.

Ninth, and my final point, is these structures should be coated with <u>dulled</u>, <u>galvanized</u> <u>plated poles</u> to <u>reduce their visibility</u>, a major complaint concerning transmission lines, **especially in rural areas and valleys.** Also, a dome cap should be placed on top of any monopole, so that raptors do not have a new perch and that can change the ecology of the areas near these lines.

I recommend Southline and do not recommend approval of the SunZia project.

Marshell Maguele

Sincerely,

Marshall Magruder

PO Box 1267

Tubac, AZ 85646

From:

Orum, Thomas V - (torum) <torum@email.arizona.edu>

Sent:

Sunday, January 31, 2016 9:19 PM

To:

Little-Web

Cc:

Ferguson, Nancy - (ferguson); Orum, Thomas V - (torum)

Subject:

SunZia Transmission Line Project. Docket Number: L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Doug Little

**Arizona Corporation Commission** 

Dear Mr. Little,

This letter is in regards to the SunZia Transmission line which is item 16 on the open meeting agenda of the Arizona Corporation Commission hearing on February 2, 2016.

Please oppose putting the SunZia transmission lines along the San Pedro River Valley. Placing the lines in the roadless area west of the San Pedro River severely fragments a wild area. The transmission lines and associated service roads will badly damage wildlife linkages between the sky islands crucial to southeastern Arizona environmental quality. The other major problem is that putting the powerlines through this roadless area could lead to the intrusion of other projects in the future. This roadless wild area is vital to the San Pedro River Valley itself and also to the City of Tucson and Saguaro National Park which the area borders to the west. Having this large roadless area to the east of Tucson is crucial to long term quality of life there and ultimately to high quality economic development. Not only would the environmental damage be severe, but it is unnecessary because the proposed Southline Project will accomplish all that SunZia would do in Arizona with far fewer environmental impacts and greater benefits.

The San Pedro River Valley is a gem of great value to Southern Arizona. As you consider the line siting and its adverse environmental consequences, please also consider the possibility that the project is not sound economically.

Sincerely,

Thomas V. Orum and Nancy Ferguson 520-573-

1679

torum@email.arizona.edu

From:

Lon Brehmer and Enriqueta Flores-Guevara < lonenriqueta@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 29, 2016 1:09 PM

Subject:

Please vote against SunZia Transmission Project

Please vote against the proposed SunZia Transmission Project. I live in the San Pedro River Valley, and feel that this project will cause disproportionate damage to the environment. This is one of the very last remaining intact ecosystems and wildlife corridors in southern Arizona, and these huge power lines with their multiple associated impacts will damage critical habitat. There are alternative routes that would not have these effects. As often happens though, it is considered cheaper to damage our society's valuable assets than find a better, less damaging but more initially expensive route. Over time, the "cheaper" route will prove much more expensive once all of the actual costs are included.

From the very beginning, SunZia has used a campaign of disinformation to try to obtain support for their project. I suspect that at it's base, this project's main purpose is to allow their company to obtain substancial financial gain. It will provide very little real benefit to the public, and will cause significant harm to our public resources. The entire approval process is stacked against the public and essentially requires huge expense and lawyers to even be heard, so that only the proponents are able to have their views represented.

Please vote against the SunZia Transmission Project.

Thank you for your consideration, Lon Brehmer

From:

Gail Loveland < gail.loveland@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 29, 2016 8:42 AM

To:

RBurns-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web

Cc:

Gail Loveland

Subject:

Please Read, Oppose SunZia Docket L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

Dear Commissioner Doug Little, Tom Forese, Bob Stump and Bob Burns;

You are our last chance to stop something BIG that damages Arizona. There are things worth fighting for our future generations...and you can do that. You can protect the integrity of the San Pedro Watershed from a megapowerline project which would do unimaginable harm to the land, plants and animals of the area.

I urge you to deny approval of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) for the SunZia proposal. It is unnecessary and harmful and should not get a CEC.

If you have read this message I thank you and plan to see you February 2nd and 3rd.

Sincerely, Gail Loveland

From:

LM <paniolowoody@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 29, 2016 7:15 AM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

comment on SunZia decision

#### Dear Doug Little,

I've been involved in the SunZia question since its beginning because I not only live in the area through which the powerlines are proposed to be built, but I work on the ground there. I don't look at this as a "not in my backyard" issue--it's far more personal than that. My research into the use of electricity by the public, and the incredible increase in demand of that power in late years as the addiction to gadgets has infected so many, tells that a major draw for power is for techno-fans to use their x-boxes and game consoles, which is something I have no touch with in my own life. One of the most popular games is a Wild West feature, so--I'm expected to surrender my everyday *real* reality I live (through this landscape I love being sacrificed) so that a bored person somewhere else can live a virtual reality? What I describe below isn't fiction or a video game, it all has happened, it is not poetry or drama I've invented to make a point. It is something I've presented again and again, in expressing why I don't want this to happen here, and maybe somehow you've read it already ... it is so tiring to keep harping a truth that is self evident to those whose brains and emotions have not been hijacked by Mammon. I would not see this place sold for a Mess of Wattage:

Some while ago when we in Cascabel had a meeting with the representatives of BLM New Mexico and the hacks & suits (well they were dressed down, so's to come across more like one of us I guess) of SunZia and the new Powers-that-Beam, I was one of the people who stood before them and the gathered folk of this part of the valley, and gave forth on what most really thought of a double line of high tension towers being pushed through this valley of the Rio San Pedro. I had no time to change out of ranch work clothes, but didn't care about how dusty I was ...

My comment on this <u>existential</u> threat to a place that is a driving passion in our lives, is a reworking of that testimony given in person in 2010 at the Cascabel Community Center, updated so it could be addressed to you:

SunZia has changed my mind about what I'll ask of the Almighty. When a horse has pitched me off his back and I start dropping back down to Earth from orbit, my thought has been, "No ... please ... later, maybe." When horses have reared straight up and then over backwards and taken me with them crashing as likely into the rocks as into the sand, I've thought, "No ... please ... later, maybe." I make the mistake of relaxing, and horses drop down for a nice roll to get me and that saddle off their backs or they just want to loll in the cool water of the stock tank we're standing in--again, "No ... please ... later, maybe." I'm leading my horse and another into a corral of a moonless cow camp night, he bumps a rotted beam and brings it down onto his back

and in the mayhem I'm pulled under all those sets of hooves invisible in the dark and they trample me from neck to ankle. "No ...please ... later, maybe." Or of an instant the world becomes naught but pink incandescence near impossible to bear as a finger of lightning lifts me out of the saddle. That Great Power that animates the Universe has really upped the ante this time--the usually more plaintive begging be spared, now it's time for an angry demand: "No! Not *now*! I have more to do!" No proof, of course, that it was why I was dropped back into that saddle as the last of the electricity jumped off the fingertips of my left hand.

But--

such events can only kill the body. These powerlines will kill my **soul**. Their possibility has changed my mind about asking the Great Power to preserve my life a little longer. I don't write here to give you facts and figures or to suggest alternatives (and they do exist) to alternative energy. No, I write here a prayer:

Oh Lord! Be Thou merciful! Don't let me live to see this greenwashed abomination, for I have no interest in walking upon Thy creation so desecrated. Amen.

sinceramente,

Lynwood "Woody" Hume

Ranch Foreman and Working Vaquero

(520) 212-6853

From:

Anna Lands <healing@rnsmte.com>

Sent:

Thursday, January 28, 2016 6:29 PM

To:

RBurns-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; Tobin-Web

Subject:

L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

**Attachments:** 

Doc1.docx

 $Attached is \ my \ comment \ to \ the \ ACC \ Commissioners \ regarding \ Docket \ Number \ L-00000YY-15-0318.$ 

Anna Lands

Anna Lands 6520 Cascabel Road Benson Arizona 85602

**Arizona Corporation Commissioners** 

RE: L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

January 28, 2016

Dear Sirs:

When the Line Siting Committee voted unanimously to support an award of a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility to SunZia, I had to wonder why. ACC's former Director of Utilities, Steven Olea, now ACC's designee to the Line Siting Committee, was the only member who appeared to understand that was a wrong decision. (He explained that as designee of the ACC, he would vote only if there were a tie vote.) Mr. Olea, with his substantial background, his clarity of perception and expression, still was unable to enlighten the other members.

This decision before you is your opportunity to think and act independently; to be standing up for Arizona; to be protecting the most whole, unfragmented lands of Arizona second only to the Grand Canyon. With this, I am barely touching the surface. To understand more deeply, please visit www.cascabelworkinggroup.org.

Anna Lands

From:

Lon Brehmer and Enriqueta Flores-Guevara < lonenriqueta@gmail.com>

Sent:

Thursday, January 28, 2016 4:06 PM

Subject:

Please oppose the SunZia Transmission Project

I am writing to ask you to vote against approval of the proposed SunZia Transmission Project.

I feel that this project is contrary to the overall interests of the public in Arizona. It would damage a critical habitat as it passes through the San Pedro River Valley. It has been touted as a "green project" on the news, but when I investigated it more, I found that only a very minimal portion (perhaps 3%) of the electricity would be non-carbon based, and that most would be coming from coal powered plants along the way. I find it absurd that Albuquerque cannot use the wind-generated power from 40 miles away, and that there would need to be a multi-state, very expensive and environmentally damaging power line to transport the power to California, which apparently is not even interested in buying it. I also do not understand how this would benefit Arizona, as it would bring competing carbon-based electricity from out of state, undercutting new solar based energy production plans here in Arizona.

This project will have many deleterious effects upon Arizona and I strongly urge you to vote against approval of the SunZia Transmission project.

Sincerely, Enriqueta Flores-Guevara

From:

Philip Hedrick < PHILIP. HEDRICK@asu.edu>

Sent:

Thursday, January 28, 2016 11:58 AM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

SunZia Transmission Line

Attachments:

1-28-16 letter SunZia.doc

92425 E Aravaipa Rd Winkelman, AZ 85192

January 28, 2016

Acting Chairman, Commissioner Doug Little Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 1200 West Washington St – 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re: Docket Number L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

#### Dear Acting Chairman, Commissioner Little:

We are landowners and residents on the west side of Aravaipa Canyon whose properties lie along Aravaipa Creek, the major tributary of the San Pedro River. As fellow residents of the San Pedro River watershed, we share the concern of our neighbors about the potential location of the SunZia Transmission Line along the west side of the San Pedro River Valley.

We are writing you to express our **strong opposition** to the decision of the Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee to approve a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility for the SunZia Transmission Project.

The San Pedro River is the last undammed river in the desert southwest and its rare riparian habitat supports a crucial migratory bird route and a multitude of wildlife species. This project would open an entirely new corridor in the valley, fragmenting wildlife linkages, and inviting further development. The relatively intact ecosystem in the valley has attracted important conservation investments in order to mitigate development elsewhere. This project would not only devalue those investments but it would make the valley less valuable for future mitigation investment. Future projects in areas designated for growth that require mitigation for approval would find it more difficult, if not impossible, to locate the necessary mitigation properties without an intact San Pedro Valley.

There is no justification for the environmental damage this project would inflict on the San Pedro River Valley. First, the SunZia Transmission Line has been deceptively marketed as a renewable energy project while it most likely will carry energy produced by fossil fuels. Even if there was any way to guarantee that it would primarily carry renewable energy, Arizona's capacity to develop its own renewable energy exceeds our need by several orders of magnitude. Importing it from New Mexico is not only unnecessary, but harmful to in-state renewable energy development for our own use and

even for export. Nor do we need to harm our environment in order to transmit energy from New Mexico to California. That state is also poised to meet its own renewable energy needs.

Finally, the SunZia Transmission Project competes with a far better and much preferred alternative, the Southline Transmission Project, which will be coming up for your consideration in the near future.

For these reasons, we strongly urge you to make the right decision for the citizens of Arizona and reject the Certificate of Environmental Compatibility for the SunZia Transmission Project.

#### Sincerely,

Charles Allen, 88675 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Sherrie Brasell, 90195 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Polly Choate, 88180 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Richard Choate, 88180 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Kelly Glenn, 88225 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Catherine Gorman, 92425 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Phil Hedrick, 92425 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Sandra Knox, 88225 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Beverly Levs. 88866 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 George Leys, 88866 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Richard Manch, 3116 N 52<sup>nd</sup> St, Phoenix, AZ 85018 Raimie Manch, 3116 N 52<sup>nd</sup> St, Phoenix, AZ 85018 Joanna Martin, 88675 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Patti Unger, 88145 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Paul Unger, 88145 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Bill Walker, 89084 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Penny Walker, 89084 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192

92425 E Aravaipa Rd Winkelman, AZ 85192

January 28, 2016

Commissioner Tom Forese Arizona Corporation Commission Commissioners Wing 1200 West Washington St – 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re: Docket Number L-00000YY-15-0318-00171

#### **Dear Commissioner Forese:**

We are landowners and residents on the west side of Aravaipa Canyon whose properties lie along Aravaipa Creek, the major tributary of the San Pedro River. As fellow residents of the San Pedro River watershed, we share the concern of our neighbors about the potential location of the SunZia Transmission Line along the west side of the San Pedro River Valley.

We are writing you to express our **strong opposition** to the decision of the Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee to approve a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility for the SunZia Transmission Project.

The San Pedro River is the last undammed river in the desert southwest and its rare riparian habitat supports a crucial migratory bird route and a multitude of wildlife species. This project would open an entirely new corridor in the valley, fragmenting wildlife linkages, and inviting further development. The relatively intact ecosystem in the valley has attracted important conservation investments in order to mitigate development elsewhere. This project would not only devalue those investments but it would make the valley less valuable for future mitigation investment. Future projects in areas designated for growth that require mitigation for approval would find it more difficult, if not impossible, to locate the necessary mitigation properties without an intact San Pedro Valley.

There is no justification for the environmental damage this project would inflict on the San Pedro River Valley. First, the SunZia Transmission Line has been deceptively marketed as a renewable energy project while it most likely will carry energy produced by fossil fuels. Even if there was any way to guarantee that it would primarily carry renewable energy, Arizona's capacity to develop its own renewable energy exceeds our need by several orders of magnitude. Importing it from New Mexico is not only unnecessary, but harmful to in-state renewable energy development for our own use and even for export. Nor do we need to

harm our environment in order to transmit energy from New Mexico to California. That state is also poised to meet its own renewable energy needs.

Finally, the SunZia Transmission Project competes with a far better and much preferred alternative, the Southline Transmission Project, which will be coming up for your consideration in the near future.

For these reasons, we strongly urge you to make the right decision for the citizens of Arizona and reject the Certificate of Environmental Compatibility for the SunZia Transmission Project.

#### Sincerely,

Charles Allen, 88675 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Sherrie Brasell, 90195 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Polly Choate, 88180 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Richard Choate, 88180 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Kelly Glenn, 88225 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Catherine Gorman, 92425 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Phil Hedrick, 92425 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Sandra Knox, 88225 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Beverly Leys, 88866 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 George Leys, 88866 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Richard Manch, 3116 N 52<sup>nd</sup> St, Phoenix, AZ 85018 Raimie Manch, 3116 N 52<sup>nd</sup> St, Phoenix, AZ 85018 Joanna Martin, 88675 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Patti Unger, 88145 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Paul Unger, 88145 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Bill Walker, 89084 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192 Penny Walker, 89084 E Aravaipa Rd, Winkelman, AZ 85192

From:

Gpaulin < gpaulin@mindspring.com>

Sent:

Thursday, January 28, 2016 5:37 AM

To:

Little-Web

Subject:

CEC SunZia

#### **Dear Sirs**

I am deeply concerned about the impact this project will have on our fragile environment and the wildlife there. The damage that will occur from installation and ongoing transmission may be irreversible. Please consider the value this habitat offers to Az residents and tourists and most importantly to the wildlife that cannot speak for themselves. Please do not grant permission for this project.

Respectfully, Gail Paulin 10886 E Pinal Vista Tucson AZ 85730

Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone